## **Remarks**

The present application has been reviewed in light of the final Office Action dated July 23, 2010. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the careful review of the present application.

By the foregoing amendments, the specification and claims 1-3, 11, and 21 have been amended, and claims 7 and 17 cancelled. Claims 1-5, 9-15, and 20-21 are pending after the amendments. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter is added by the amendments, and supports for the amendments can be found from throughout the specification, drawings, and claims as originally filed.

Reconsider of the rejections is earnestly solicited in light of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

## **Foreign Priority**

The Examiner noted that applicant had not filed a certified copy of the foreign priority document (KR 10-2004-0027979), as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Applicant believes that, based on the agreements to exchange priority documents between the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), the priority document for the Korean priority application (KR 10-2004-0027979) can be retrieved by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Thus, Applicant hereby requests the Examiner to so notify if the certified copy of the Korean priority document must still be filed.

## **Claims Rejections and Indication of Allowable Claims**

Claims 1-6, 8-16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Korean Publication 2002-73865 ("Lee") in view of Korean Publication 2003-5085 ("Dadream"), and in further view of Moore et al. (US 7,221,748).

Claims 7 and 17 are, however, indicated to be allowed if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

It is noted that the Applicant opted not to present arguments against the above stated claim rejections under U.S.C. 103(a) for the sole purpose of expediting the examination of the present case. Instead thereof, the Applicant elected to amend independent claims 1 and 11 in such a manner to include all of the limitations of previous claims 7 and 17, respectively, that are indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form as stated above. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1 and 11 and claims dependent there-from are patentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 21 has similarly been amended to include all of the limitations of previously-indicated allowable claim 17. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 21 as amended is also patentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

In view of the foregoing amendments and above remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all the pending claims (i.e., claims 1-5, 9-15, and 20-21 as amended) are patentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

If any points remain at issue which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is invited to contact the attorney identified below.

Respectfully submitted,

Page 9 Serial No. 10/599,987 Response to Official Action

October 20, 2010

A. J. Park

Hyun Jong Park, Registration No. 59,093
Attorney for Applicants
Park & Associates Intellectual Property Law LLC
265 Bic Drive, Suite 106
Milford, CT 06461
Tel. (203) 702-7102